Application No. Applicant(s) 10/047.326 GULERYUZ ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen 2697 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen (PTO). (3)_____. (2) Michael T. Gabrik. (4) Date of Interview: 12 August 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1-32. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Below. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS

Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Claims one subjected to restriction.

Group I : Claims 1-24. Group II : Claims 25-32.

Applicant elected group I (claims 1-24) over the phone without traverse.

GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required